

**Remarks**

Claims 1-16 are pending, and claims 1-16 stand rejected.

**Reissue Oath/Declaration**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-16 as being based on a defective reissue oath/declaration.

On Friday, May 09, 2008, an interview was initiated by Ms. Mimi Nguyen with Examiner Rickman regarding the defective reissue oath/declaration. Examiner Rickman referred Ms. Nguyen to Mr. William Krynski, who suggested that the Applicants submit a new reissue oath/declaration with claim limitations included in the description of the error upon which a reissue is based. The Applicants thus are submitting have a newly executed reissue oath/declaration. This reissue declaration clearly states the description of the error. The Applicants respectfully ask the Examiner to withdraw the rejection on the reissue declaration.

**35 USC § 112 Rejection**

Applicants thank the Examiner for withdrawing the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-16 under 35 USC § 112.

**35 USC § 102/103 Rejections**

Applicants thank the Examiner for withdrawing the rejection of claims 1, 10, and 15-16 under 35 USC § 102(g) and the rejection of claims 1, 10, and 15-16 under 35 USC § 103.

**Conclusion**

Based on the remarks provided above, the Applicants submit that claims 1-16 are allowable. Thus, the Applicants ask the Examiner to reconsider the rejections and allow claims 1-16.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 5/21/2008

/Brett Bornsen/

**SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER**

Brett L. Bornsen, Reg. No. 46,566

Duft Bornsen & Fishman, LLP

Telephone: (303) 786-7687

Facsimile: (303) 786-7691